

**SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION FORM - U.S. EPA REGION 10**

1.3

SITE NAME: Atka Cape Kudugnak EPA ID # AKN001002630

ALIAS SITE NAME (S): \_\_\_\_\_

LATITUDE: 52°13' 39.864" N LONGITUDE: 174° 3' 56.646" W

ADDRESS: Section 9, Township 92 S, Range 175 West, Umiat Meridian

CITY: Atka COUNTY: Aleutians West Census Area STATE: AK

REPORT TYPE: PA/SI REPORT DATE: 12/05 REPORT LEAD: USEPA

REPORT developed by: Ecology and Environment, Inc.

**DECISION:**

/ X / 1. Further Remedial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because:

/ X / 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA

(No Further Remedial Action Planned by EPA- NFRAP)

/ / 1b. Site may qualify for further action, but is deferred to: / / RCRA / / NRC

/ / 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA:

2b. Activity

/ / PA

/ / Combined PA/SI

/ / SI

/ / Site Reassessment

/ / ESI

/ / HRS Evaluation

/ / Integrated Assessment

/ / Removal Assessment

/ / RDT meeting needed

/ / mini-RDT meeting needed

/ / Other \_\_\_\_\_

**Discussion / Rationale:** The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has determined that no further action by the Federal Superfund program is warranted at the referenced site, at this time. A NFRAP designation means that no additional steps under the Federal Superfund program will be taken at the site unless new information warranting further Superfund consideration or conditions not previously known to EPA regarding the site are discovered. If new or additional information becomes available suggesting that this site may warrant further consideration by the Federal Superfund program, EPA will reevaluate this site accordingly. This NFA decision does not relieve the facility from complying with state, local or other federal requirements.

**Other site-specific decisions (include date for each decision):**

X **Archive (NFRAP Flag)**-All Federal Superfund Work Completed, i.e., no further Superfund interest ( The Archive should only be used once the site is NFRAP'd for site assessment work AND no further remedial, removal, enforcement, cost recovery, or oversight activities are planned or conducted at the site. Include in site file documentation showing that removal/enforcement/cost recovery activities, if any at the site, are closed out. Email responses from the enforcement/removal programs are acceptable documentation.)

**Excluded from Eligible Response Sites (As defined by the Brownfields Law of 2002)** - The EPA region, after consulting with the relevant state agency, has determined that this site is to be excluded from the definition of an eligible response site. Include in the CERCLA site file a copy of the written communications with the state and the written determination.

**No Further Federal Action (NFFA)** - as defined in the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act (Brownfields Law of 2002). CERCLA Section 101(41)(C)(i) authorizes EPA to make a site which was previously excluded, because it had obtained a preliminary score sufficient for possible listing, an eligible response site again. If a site has any planned or ongoing enforcement, cost recovery or removal activities, an NFFA determination will not be allowed.

NFFA or ERS Exclusion concurrence by Ofc of Regional Counsel: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

NFFA or ERS Exclusion decision made by: \_\_\_\_\_  
Unit Manager, Site Assessment/Cleanup Unit 2



**/ X / FINAL ASSESSMENT DECISION (FAD)**

(Check if this decision meets the following GPRA definition: NFRAP; Deferred to NRC; Referred to Removal (NFRAP); Addressed as part of another NPL site or Addressed as part of another Non-NPL site; a State deferral action is completed; an NPL listing action has occurred; or the site has been archived after some Site Assessment Action). The final decision date is the site decision date below.

Report Reviewed/Site Decision Made by: Ken Marcy Date: 01/19/06

EPA Region 10 Site Assessment Manager

# Selecting Site Types

## Site Types

*Listing of Main Categories and Subcategories*

### **Manufacturing/processing/maintenance**

Chemicals and allied products  
Radioactive products  
Primary metals/mineral processing  
Oil and gas refining  
Metal fabrication/finishing/coating and allied industries  
Lumber and wood products/pulp and paper  
Lumber and wood products/wood preserving/treatment  
Plastics and rubber products  
Electronic/electrical equipment  
Electric power generation and distribution  
Coal gasification  
Ordnance production  
Coke production  
Trucks/ships/trains/aircraft and related components  
Tanneries  
Fabrics/textiles

### **Mining**

Coal  
Oil and Gas  
Metals  
Non-metal minerals

### **Waste Management**

Municipal solid waste landfill  
Industrial waste landfill  
Co-disposal landfill (municipal and industrial)  
Industrial waste facility (non-generator)  
Radioactive waste treatment, storage, disposal (non-generator)  
Mine tailings disposal  
Illegal disposal/open dump

### **Recycling**

Batteries/scrap metals/secondary smelting/precious metal recovery  
Waste/used oil  
Automobiles/tires  
Drums/tanks  
Chemicals/chemical waste (e.g., solvent recovery)

### **Other**

Treatment works/septic tanks/other sewage treatment  
Transportation (e.g., railroad yards, airport, barge docking site)  
Product storage/distribution  
Ground water plume site  
Contaminated sediment site  
Retail/commercial  
Agricultural (e.g., grain elevator)  
Spill or other one-time event  
Military  
Research, development, and testing facility  
Dust control

Non-NPL status value

NF

See Hq reference guide

Priority [ ] Low [ ] High ☒ NFRAP

**SPECIAL INITIATIVE** (Check all that apply):

Environmental Justice Indicator [ ]

Explosives and Ordnance Site [ ]

Formerly Used Defense Site ☒

Tribal Concerns [ ]

- Sites of concern to a tribe, where no tribe plays an active role.
- All sites, regardless of where they are located in relation to tribal lands, and regardless of whether EPA, a state, or a tribe performs the assessment or has not performed any assessment to date.
- These sites are determined by the site manager and regional tribal liaisons, in discussions with the tribes.
- Check this flag at Site Discovery or at any point after that at which a tribe expresses that the site is of concern to them.

Tribal Involvement [ ]

Sites that are of concern to a tribe, where a tribe plays an active role.

Tribal Land ☒

Definition from HQ needed.

**OWNER/OPERATOR TYPE** (check all that apply):

Bank/Loan Company [ ]

County Owned [ ]

District Owned [ ]

Federally Owned [ ]

Formerly Federally Owned or Operated ☒

Government Owned/Contractor Operated [ ]

Indian Land ☒ Definition from HQ needed

Mixed Ownership [ ]

Municipality [ ]

Other [ ]

Private [ ]

Privately Owned/Government Operated [ ]

State Owned [ ]

Unknown [ ]

**LEAD** (check one):

EPA In-House [ ] e.g. report is developed by EPA employee

EPA Fund-Financed ☒ e.g. report is developed by authorized EPA contractor

State Lead, EPA Fund-Financed [ ] e.g. report is developed by state under Cooperative Agmt w/ EPA

State, No EPA Fund Money [ ]

Tribal Lead, EPA Fund Financed [ ] Tribal Governments as action lead, where a tribe plays more than an active role